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from a wider region that enlarges with increasing sea state, in a similar manner as the sun reflecting over the sea surface. If the scattering surface is a plane, the loci of constant delay (isorange) are a set of ellipses, and the loci of constant Doppler shift (isoloplus) are a set of hyperbolae. Therefore, each point over the surface has a particular delay and Doppler (actually there are two points with the same delay and Doppler). In radar, the ambiguity function [15] provides a measure of the similitude between a signal and a delayed version of it that may include a Doppler shift. In GNSS-R, the equivalent of the ambiguity function in radar is known as a DDM and consists of the power distribution of the reflected signal over the 2-D space of delay offsets and Doppler shifts. Therefore, the shape of the DDM is actually providing a measurement of the size of the area over which the GPS signals are scattered (which is also known as the glintening zone). Thus, larger sizes mean that the received signal is composed by contributions from a wider range of propagation delays and Doppler shifts.

The basic idea of this work is to use this property to estimate the sea state and to make the necessary corrections in the L-band brightness temperature to retrieve SSS with an improved accuracy. This work has been performed during phase A of the proposed Passive Advanced Unit (PAU)2 in Spanish scientific instrument. PAU in SeaSAT is a simplified version of the proposed Passive Advanced Unit (PAU)2 in Spanish Earth Observation Satellite (SeaSAT/INGENIO)3 secondary satellites toward the GNSS-R receiver are computed as 118

θ = \arccos(\hat{s} \cdot \hat{r}) \quad (1a)

θ = \arccos(\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n}_s) \quad (1b)

1If the scattering surface is not a plane, as it is the Earth’s surface, these curves are no longer ellipses and hyperbolae, and have more complicated expressions.

2PAU is an instrument concept for ocean monitoring that was awarded an EURYI Award from the European Science Foundation in 2004.

3The E.O. SeaSAT/INGENIO satellite is the Spanish contribution to GMES.
that both easily perform the numerical integration, it is useful to consider and the number of sampling points becomes very large. To 
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where \( c \) is the speed of light, and \( \beta \) and \( \varepsilon \) in (4) and (5) have 

An equation can be expressed as a 2-D convolution 

\[ \langle |Y(\tau, f_d)|^2 \rangle = \chi^2(\tau, f_d) * \Sigma(\tau, f_d) \]  

(8)

where \( \chi \) is the ambiguity function in radar terminology, which is independent on the pixel position and easy to compute. The ambiguity function can be understood as the impulse response to the scattered signal from a single delay-Doppler cell. On the other hand, \( \Sigma \) is given by

\[ \Sigma(\tau, f_d) = T_s^2 \int \!\! \int \!\! \!\! \!\!\! \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\! \frac{D^2(\hat{\rho})\sigma^0(\hat{\rho})}{4\pi R_0^2(\hat{\rho})R^2(\hat{\rho})} \times \delta(\tau - \tau(\hat{\rho})) \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon(\hat{\rho})) d\rho \]  

(9)

and accounts for the surface properties, the antenna patterns, and other constants from the bistatic radar equation, assigning a “weighting factor” to each delay-Doppler cell of the scene. To compute \( \Sigma \), a bidimensional Cartesian \( x-y \) space is first defined, and the delay and Doppler values, the bistatic scattering coefficient, the antenna pattern contribution, and the 176 propagation losses are computed at each \( (x, y) \) point using geometrical relationships. Finally, the \( (x, y) \) points associated to a delay-Doppler cell \( (\tau, f_d) \) are all added.

The simulation results presented have been performed on a PAU/SeoSAT simulator. This simulator has been implemented in Matlab and allows one to create an Earth scenario composed of GPS satellites broadcasting over the Earth and another satellite (SeoSAT/INGENIO in this particular case) receiving the reflections and computing the DDMs for the different GPS satellites. The SeoSAT satellite’s orbit is not yet determined at the time of performing this study, but a polar (inclination = 98.9°) circular orbit with a height of 681 km \( (v = 7.5 \text{ km/s}) \) has been assumed. The orbital elements of the GPS satellites have been obtained from data^3 given by the “Center for Space, Standard and Innovation” and the GPS satellites, and SeoSAT1 relative geometry is computed in 20-s steps. PAU’s antenna consists of a seven-patch hexagonal array with a beamwidth \( (-3 \text{ dB}) \) of \( \sim 25° \), providing a footprint of \( \sim 260 \text{ km} \), which best matches the glinting zone at medium wind speeds. Fig. 2(a) and (b) shows the computed DDMs (steps \( \Delta \tau = 1 \text{ chip} \) and \( \Delta f_d = 500 \text{ Hz} \) for wind speeds equal to 3 and \( \text{m/s} \) in the 197 particular case that the GPS satellite is exactly located in the zenith of the GPS receiver. A logarithmic scale has been used to better show how the tails of the function extend toward higher delays when the sea surface roughness increases. Note that the envelope (the border between the bins with and without power 202 contribution) is the same in both cases, but in the second one [Fig. 2(b)], the tails extend over a longer area. Fig. 3(a) and (b) 204 shows the DDMs computed for the same wind speeds, as shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), respectively. In this case, the GPS scattered signal is not at nadir position but at 12° off-nadir. As it can be appreciated, the symmetry is now broken, and one tail is larger than the other. The shape of the envelope in Fig. 3(a) and (b) is the same, but it is different from Fig. 2(a) and (b) since it is only determined by geometrical factors.

\(^3\)http://celestrak.com/.
Fig. 2. Simulated DDMs (log-scale) when the GPS scattered signal is at nadir of the GNSS-R receiver \((h = 681 \text{ km})\) for wind speeds equal to (a) 3 m/s and (b) 10 m/s. A reduction of 4.5 dB (AU) for the peak power is observed (AU arbitrary unit).

Fig. 3. Simulated DDMs (log-scale) when the GPS scattered signal is at the half-power beamwidth of the GNSS-R receiver \((h = 681 \text{ km})\) for wind speeds equal to (a) 3 m/s and (b) 10 m/s.

### III. Relationship Between Brightness Temperature and DDM Parameters

In order to relate the DDM with the brightness temperature change induced by the sea state \((\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p}))\), it is important to extract from the DDM some physically meaningful parameters that can be linked to this change. In this paper, it is proposed to use the volume under the normalized DDM, which increases with increasing roughness, since the region from which the signals are scattered enlarges, i.e.,

\[
\text{Volume} = \int_{\tau_{\text{min}}}^{\tau_{\text{max}}} \int_{f_{d,\text{min}}}^{f_{d,\text{max}}} \text{DDM}(\tau, f_d) \cdot d\tau \cdot df_d \quad (10)
\]

where \(\tau_{\text{min}}, \tau_{\text{max}}, f_{d,\text{min}}, \) and \(f_{d,\text{max}}\) are the minimum and maximum values for the code offset and Doppler shift, respectively, and \(\text{DDM}(\tau, f_d)\) is the normalized DDM. However, since the numerical computation of the volume requires an infinite domain of integration both in delay and Doppler (in practice, a very large one), it is proposed also to use the area of a section of the normalized DDM at a given threshold below the maximum, i.e.,

\[
\text{Area} = \iint_{\text{DDM}(\tau, f_d) > \text{threshold}} d\tau \cdot df_d. \quad (11)
\]

This threshold must carefully be selected in order to provide maximum sensitivity to the geophysical parameters. The
convention used in this paper is to define the threshold at a 231 percentage of the maximum. For instance, a threshold of 10% 232 from a normalized DDM would mean to consider only the 233 points with amplitude over 0.1. The normalization is performed 234 using the peak power of the DDM, so that its maximum is equal 235 to one.

In order to study the relationship between the DDM volume 238 or area and the sea state (in the set of simulations parameterized 239 in terms of the wind speed only), a threshold at a given 240 percentage of the maximum value has been defined, setting 241 all points below it to zero. Fig. 4(a) and (b) shows the results 242 for wind speeds from 0 to 14 m/s and different thresholds. 243 The shape of the area also follows the same trend as the 244 volume, and it is similar to that of the mean square slope versus 245 wind speed [19], which suggests that both observables are also 246 related to the same geophysical parameter. As expected, both 247 the volume and the area decrease as the threshold increases, 248 and the lower the threshold, the larger the sensitivity (largest 249 output change for the same input change), with respect to 250 the wind speed. Similarly, the $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta = 0^\circ, U_{10})$ (increase 253 in the brightness temperature for the $p$ polarization at nadir 253 position as a function of surface wind speed $U_{10}$) associated 255 to the same roughness conditions was computed as described in 258 [20]. It is then possible to link the DDM with the $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta = 255 0^\circ, U_{10})$, which can be used to compensate for this term in 260 the SSS retrieval algorithms. At present, this dependence is 257 only empirically known with respect to the wind speed and/or 258 the SWH [2], [3] or through models [21] that rely on the 259 wind speed dependence (and eventually others such as the 260 wave age [22] and other surface processes [23]). Even though 261 $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, V_{DDM})$ and $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, A_{DDM})$ will only be known

when the DDMs and the brightness temperatures are simulta- 262 neously measured, an estimate can now be obtained by using 263 the wind speed as intermediate variable $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, V_{DDM}(U_{10}))$ 264 and $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, A_{DDM}(U_{10}))$. This relationship is shown in 265 Fig. 5(a) and (b), which have been obtained by replacing in 266 Fig. 4(a) and (b) the wind speed dependence of the L-band 267 brightness temperature at nadir derived using the small per- 268 turbation method/small slope approximation (SPM/SSA) [22], 269 [24] (Fig. 6). This approach is one of the three algorithms 270 implemented in the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity retrieval 271 processor [25].

IV. PRACTICAL CONSIDERATION

The results presented in Fig. 5(a) and (b) assume a perfectly 274 measured DDM in steps $\Delta \tau = 1$ chip and $\Delta f_d = 500$ Hz 275 computed over a very large delay-Doppler region, so that the 276 contributions of the tails outside the integration region are 277 negligible. In this section, the following four aspects that appear 278 in the practical implementation of the DDM generator are 279 considered:

1) finite size in both the delay and Doppler variables: $[\tau_{\text{min}}, \tau_{\text{max}}] \times [f_{d,\text{min}}, f_{d,\text{max}}]$;
2) DDM resolution: step width $\Delta \tau$ and $\Delta f_d$;
3) quantization of the DDM or the number of levels in which 284 it is coded;
4) effect of the noise.

Since the sea state dependence is very similar both for the DDM 287 area and the volume [Fig. 4(a) and (b)], in the remainder of this 288 paper, only results for the DDM volume are presented.
From a practical point of view, it is convenient to reduce the size of the computed DDM, either using a field-programmable gate array \[26\] or in software after the signals are acquired. The idea is to find the minimum size around the DDM peak that provides an estimated area or volume close enough to the ideal case [Fig. 4(a) and (b)]. Results are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b) for the estimated volume under the DDM. As expected, due to the widening of the DDM tails with increasing wind speed, the saturation occurs at higher delays, whereas the saturation Doppler frequency slightly increases. Consequently, the minimum DDM size, so that truncation errors are negligible, is 205 chips and $\pm 11$ kHz ($\leq 2\%$ error) for $U_{10} = 10$ m/s and 220 chips and $\pm 11$ kHz ($\leq 2\%$ error) for $U_{10} = 14$ m/s. This represents an area that is much larger than the antenna footprint, which is actually limiting the field of view from which reflections can be seen ($\sim 260$ km). This footprint is compatible with the size of the final salinity products to be derived, from 100 to 300 km of spatial resolution every 10 to 30 days \[27\], \[28\].

The DDM computation in real time is also limited by the number of points used to sample the DDM in each variable $N_\tau = (\tau_{\text{max}} - \tau_{\text{min}})/\Delta \tau + 1$ and $N_{fd} = (f_{d,\text{max}} - f_{d,\text{min}})/\Delta f_d + 1$. Therefore, the accuracy of the estimated area or volume of the normalized DDM is also affected by the DDM resolution.

The DDM is a wide and slow varying function, but under-sampling it results in a bias in the volume or area estimate, which translates into a bias in the estimated brightness temperature correction associated due to the sea state ($\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p})$). To estimate this impact, the DDMs have been computed for several wind speed conditions decimating both in the delay and Doppler variables ($\Delta \tau = N_{\text{decim}.factor,\tau} \cdot \text{factor,\tau}$ in chips) and $\Delta f_d = M_{\text{decim}.factor,fd} \cdot \text{factor,fd}$ in hertz), and results have been compared to those obtained using $\Delta \tau = 1$ chip and $\Delta f_d = 500$ Hz. Simulation results for the bias in $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p})$ as a function of the decimation factors $N_{\text{decim}.factor,\tau}$ and $M_{\text{decim}.factor,fd}$ are shown in Fig. 8(a) and (b) for wind speeds of 3 and 10 m/s. In order to have a bias in the brightness temperature correction due to sea state that is smaller than, for example, 0.05 K ($\sim 0.1$-psu SSS error), the maximum decimating factors can be $N_{\text{decim}.factor,\tau} = 1$ (1 chip) and $M_{\text{decim}.factor,fd} = 2$ (1 kHz).

The quantization of the DDM values into a finite number of levels results in roundoff errors that produce a bias in the area or volume estimate. Then, it translates into a bias in the brightness temperature correction to be applied due to the sea state. This bias decreases as the number of levels increases. The impact of the number of quantization levels in the DDM volume has been studied.
studied for wind speeds ranging from 3 to 14 m/s. Fig. 9(a) shows the bias in the DDM volume estimate as a function of the quantization levels from 2 (1 bit) to 1024 (10 bits). Fig. 9(b) shows the resulting bias in the brightness temperature correction. As it can be appreciated, for a bias smaller than 0.05 K, the number of levels must be larger than 256, and above 512, the bias is nearly independent of the sea state.

**D. Noise Impact**

In the previous sections, the effect of additive noise has not been taken into account. However, the performance of the brightness temperature correction ultimately depends on the amount of noise present in the DDMs. Taking into account that 1) the correlation time of the sea surface’s backscatter at L-band is on the order of a few milliseconds and 2) the possibility of having a sign change associated to the navigation bit, a 10-ms coherent integration time has been assumed, followed by incoherent integration. In [29], the average noise level from a receiver in a low Earth orbit is given by

$$N = T_i^2 \left( \frac{K_p \cdot P + k_B T_{\text{sys}} B}{\sqrt{N_{\text{incoherent}}}} \right)$$

which includes two terms: 1) a speckle one, which depends on the scattered power $P$ and $K_p \approx 1/\sqrt{h}$, which is related to the satellite height, and 2) a Gaussian thermal noise, which depends on Boltzmann’s constant $k_B$, system temperature $T_{\text{sys}}$, and system bandwidth $B$.

According to [29], the noise of the DDM module has a Rayleigh-Rice distribution since the noise in the real and imaginary parts are zero-mean Gaussian noises. This means that a noise increment increases not only the DDM variance but also its mean. This is a critical point since the brightness temperature correction $(\Delta T_{B_P}(\theta, \vec{p}))$ is computed through the DDM volume, which also increases with the noise level, resulting in a
Fig. 9. Error in (a) estimated volume under the normalized DDM and (b) associated $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta = 0^\circ, \vec{p})$ error due to quantization.

Fig. 10. (a) Estimated normalized DDM mean volume as a function of wind speed after subtraction of the estimated noise floor, (b) estimated $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta = 0^\circ, \vec{p})$, and (c) associated error as a function of the wind speed. The DDM is computed for a coherent integration time of 1 ms and variable incoherent integration times of 1, 10, and 100 ms.

V. CONCLUSION

This study, which was performed in view of the practical implementation of the GNSS-R processor of the PAU in the SeoSAT/INGENIO instrument proposal, has presented four results.

1) An efficient computation procedure to compute the 392 DDMs of GNSS-R over the sea surface and collected by 393 a satellite-borne receiver by means of 2-D convolutions.

2) The relationship between the area and volume under 395 the DDM with respect to the wind speed used as the 396 single descriptor of the sea state. This relationship has 397 been used to link the brightness temperature correction 398 due to the sea state, which was parameterized also in 399 terms of the wind speed only, with the area or volume 400 under the normalized DDM. This must be considered an 401 intermediate step since the ultimate goal is to directly 402 relate the area or volume under the DDM to the brightness 403
temperature correction, when measurements are available in the future.

3) Both the area and the volume as a function of the wind speed exhibit the saturation trend for higher wind speeds.
4) The requirements of the DDMs to be computed in order to accurately correct for the sea state impact on the L-band brightness temperature. These requirements are summarized as DDM size 220 chips $\times \pm 1$ kHz, DDM resolution $= 1$ chip $\times 1$ kHz, DDM quantization of at least 9 bits, and $T_s$ $= 1$ ms coherent integration, followed by 100-ms incoherent integration, assuming a GNSS-R receiver at 680-km height and a 25° beamwidth antenna.

Future research lines will include the evaluation of $\Delta T_{p,p}(\theta, \nu_{DDM})$ and $\Delta T_{p,p}(\theta, \nu_{DDM})$ for other bistatic scattering geometries since the relationship between sea roughness and the area/volume of the normalized DDM depends on the observation geometry (incidence angles, velocity vectors, etc.). In this paper, the area/volume and their link with roughness (and the subsequent brightness temperature increase) for the following particular geometry has been computed: GPS satellite exactly at the GNSS-R receiver’s zenith and the velocities following particular geometry has been computed: GPS satellite observation geometry (incidence angles, velocity vectors, etc.).
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I. INTRODUCTION

IT IS GENERALLY accepted that the best way to retrieve sea surface salinity (SSS) is by means of L-band radiometry (1400–1427 MHz). However, in addition to polarization “p,” incidence angle \( \theta \), SSS, and sea surface temperature (SST), sea surface brightness temperature \( T_B \) depends on the sea state, which is the largest contributor to the deviations of the brightness temperature with respect to the flat sea model [1], i.e.,

\[
T_{B,p}(\theta) = T_{B,p,flat \; sea}(\theta, f, SSS, SST) + \Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p})
\]

where \( \vec{p} \) is a generic vector of parameters that define the sea state. The sea state is usually parameterized in terms of the 10-m height wind speed \( U_{10} \) and/or the significant wave height \( \text{SWH} \), but none of them are fully satisfactory.

The use of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) signals reflected by the sea surface for altimetry applications was first suggested by Martín-Neira [4] and, more recently, for sea state determination using the so-called “waveforms” [5]–[7]. These retrievals have been performed using ground-based [8], airborne [5], and atmospheric sensors [9]. The GNSS reflected (GNSS-R) approach has also been used to perform soil moisture retrieval [10] and ice characterization [11]. The global coverage offered by the spaceborne platforms [12], [13] has allowed to study several geophysical parameters (e.g., salinity, soil moisture, and ice) with the same sensor. In this paper, we advance in the use of the full delay-Doppler map (DDM) defined in Section II to make the necessary sea state 46 \( T_B \) corrections (\( \Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p}) \)) in SSS retrieval algorithms.

The Global Positioning System was designed to provide global positioning at any time. To achieve this goal, a minimum of four satellites is required to simultaneously be visible. The GPS constellation is formed by at least four satellites in each 51 of the six orbital planes phased 60° [14]. The orbits are near 52 circular and have an inclination of 55°, a semimajor axis 53 of approximately 26,562 km, and an orbital period of about 54 12 h. The so-called Standard Positioning Service (SPS) is a 55 positioning and timing service provided at the GPS L1 band 56 (1575.42 MHz). Each GPS satellite transmits in this band a 57 signal that contains a pseudorandom noise coarse/acquisition 58 (C/A) code that is unique and known for each satellite plus the 59 navigation message. It also contains a precision code (P) that 60 is reserved for military uses, but this is not part of the SPS. A 61 new civil signal in L2 (LC2) is already being transmitted from 62 the new GPS satellites. The spreading code used differs from the 63 C/A one, but it could similarly be employed to obtain and 64 process DDM sea state corrections.

The GPS signal is transmitted using a right-hand circularly 66 polarized electromagnetic wave that, when scattered over the 67 sea surface, becomes left-hand elliptically polarized. Over a 68 perfectly calm (flat) sea, the scattered signal comes from the 69 specular reflection point, which is determined by the shortest 70 distance between the transmitting GPS satellite and the receiver. 71 However, when the sea is roughed, the scattered signals come 72
from a wider region that enlarges with increasing sea state, 73 in a similar manner as the sun reflecting over the sea surface. 74 If the scattering surface is a plane, the loci of constant delay 76 (isorange) are a set of ellipses, and the loci of constant Doppler 77 shift (isoDoppler) are a set of hyperbolae.1 Therefore, each 78 point over the surface has a particular delay and Doppler 79 (actually there are two points with the same delay and Doppler). 80 In radar, the ambiguity function [15] provides a measure of 81 the similitude between a signal and a delayed version of it that 82 may include a Doppler shift. In GNSS-R, the equivalent of the 83 ambiguity function in radar is known as a DDM and consists 84 of the power distribution of the reflected signal over the 2-D 85 space of delay offsets and Doppler shifts. Therefore, the shape 86 of the DDM is actually providing a measurement of the size 87 of the area over which the GPS signals are scattered (which is 88 also known as the glistening zone). Thus, larger sizes mean that 89 the received signal is composed by contributions from a wider 90 range of propagation delays and Doppler shifts.

91 The basic idea of this work is to use this property to estimate 92 the sea state and to make the necessary corrections in the 93 L-band brightness temperature to retrieve SSS with an im- 94 proved accuracy. This work has been performed during phase A 95 of the proposed Passive Advanced Unit (PAU)2 in Spanish 96 Earth Observation Satellite (SeoSAT/INGENIO)3 secondary 97 scientific instrument. PAU in SeoSAT is a simplified version 98 of the PAU instrument under development with funds from 99 the European Science Foundation. It is a hybrid pseudor- 100 location radiometer at 1400–1427 MHz and GPS reflectometer 101 (1575.42 MHz), following the concept described in [16] but 102 with only one receiver and a left-hand circularly polarized 103 antenna with a 25° beamwidth.

104 This paper is organized as follows: Section II describes an 105 efficient technique to compute the DDMs as measured from 106 a satellite-borne receiver. Section III presents the relationship 107 between the brightness temperature and two DDM-derived ob- 108 servables. Section IV presents some practical aspects that may 109 limit the performance of this technique: the size of the DDM, 110 the resolution in delay and Doppler, the quantization effects, 111 and the measurement noise. Finally, Section V summarizes the 112 main conclusions of this paper.

II. SIMULATION OF DDMs

114 In order to compute the DDMs, it is necessary to know the 115 scattering geometry: the positions of the GNSS-R receiver and 116 the GPS satellites (Fig. 1). Assuming the spherical Earth, the 117 incidence θi and scattering θs angles at a given pixel from GPS 118 satellites toward the GNSS-R receiver are computed as

\[
\theta_i = \arccos(-\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n}_i) \quad (1a)
\]

\[
\theta_s = \arccos(\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n}_s) \quad (1b)
\]

1If the scattering surface is not a plane, as it is the Earth’s surface, these curves are no longer ellipses and hyperbolae, and have more complicated expressions.

2PAU is an instrument concept for ocean monitoring that was awarded an EURYI Award from the European Science Foundation in 2004.

3The E.O. SeaSAT/INGENIO satellite is the Spanish contribution to GMES.

where \( \hat{r} = \hat{r}/|\hat{r}| \) is the unitary vector perpendicular to the 119 tangent plane at the pixel position, \( \hat{r}_{GPS} \) is the GPS satellite 120 position, \( \hat{r}_{SeoSAT} \) is the SeoSAT satellite position, \( \hat{n}_i = \) 121 \((\hat{r} - \hat{r}_{GPS})/(|\hat{r} - \hat{r}_{GPS}|) \) and \( \hat{n}_s = -((\hat{r} - \hat{r}_{SeoSAT})/(|\hat{r} - \hat{r}_{SeoSAT}|)) \) are the unitary vectors from the GPS satellite to the 123 pixel and from the pixel to the GNSS-R receiver satellite, and 124 \( \hat{r} \) is the pixel position.

Similarly, the azimuth angles with respect to the north are 126 computed as

\[
\varphi_i = \arccos(-\hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{g}') \quad (2a)
\]

\[
\varphi_s = \arccos(-\hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{g}'') \quad (2b)
\]

where \( -\hat{\theta} \) is the normalized vector at the pixel tangent to the 128 earth’s surface and pointing toward the north; and \( \hat{g}' \) and \( \hat{g}'' \) are 129 the normalized projections of \( \hat{n}_i \) and \( \hat{n}_s \), respectively, over the 130 tangent plane. To solve the ambiguity due to the arccos func- 131 tion, the dot product with respect to \( \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{z} \) is also computed.4

132 Once the geometry is known, following Zavorotny’s nota- 133 tion [10]–[12], the average power of the reflected GPS signal 134 (DDM) can be expressed as follows:

\[
\langle |Y(\tau, f_d)|^2 \rangle = T_i^2 \int_\Delta \frac{|R|^2 D^2(\rho) A^2(\Delta \tau) |S(\Delta f)|^2}{4\pi R_0^2(\rho)R^2(\rho)} \times \frac{q^4(\rho)}{q_2(\rho)} P_2 \left(-\frac{q_1}{q_2}\right) d^2 \rho \quad (3)
\]

where \( T_i \) is the coherent integration time; \( \tau \) is the delay; \( f_d \) 136 is the Doppler frequency; \( \Delta \tau = \tau - \tau(\rho) \); \( \Delta f = f_d - f_d(\rho) \); 137 \( \hat{\rho} \) is the position vector of a surface point; \( \tau(\rho) \) and \( f_d(\rho) \) are 138

4\( \psi_i = \arccos(-\hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{z}) \), and \( \psi_s = \arccos(-\hat{\theta} \cdot \hat{z}'') \), recalling that \( \varphi_i, s \) and \( \psi_{i, s} \) are 90° apart.
been substituted by \( n \) into (3) yields

\[ \chi^2(\tau, f_d) \ast \Sigma(\tau, f_d) \]  

(8)

where \( \chi \) is the ambiguity function in radar terminology, which is independent on the pixel position and easy to compute. The ambiguity function can be understood as the impulse response to the scattered signal from a single delay-Doppler cell. On the other hand, \( \Sigma \) is given by

\[ \Sigma(\tau, f_d) = T_i^2 \int_G \int \frac{D^2(\hat{\rho})\sigma^0(\hat{\rho})}{4\pi R_i^2(\hat{\rho}) R^2(\hat{\rho})} \times \delta(\tau - \sigma(\hat{\rho})) \delta(f_d - f_d(\hat{\rho})) \ d^2\rho \]  

(9)

and accounts for the surface geometry, the antenna patterns, and other constants from the bistatic radar equation, assigning a “weighting factor” to each delay-Doppler cell of the scene. To compute \( \Sigma \), a bidimensional Cartesian \( x-y \) space is first defined, and the delay and Doppler values, the bistatic scattering coefficient, the antenna pattern contribution, and the 167 propagation losses are computed at each \((x, y)\) point using geometrical relationships. Finally, the \((x, y)\) points associated to a delay-Doppler cell \((\tau, f_d)\) are all added.

The simulation results presented have been obtained with a PAU/SeoSAT simulator. This simulator has been implemented in Matlab and allows one to create an Earth scenario composed of GPS satellites broadcasting over the Earth and another satellite (SeoSAT/INGENIO in this particular case) receiving the reflections and computing the DDMs for the different GPS satellites. The SeoSAT satellite’s orbit is not yet determined at the time of performing this study, but a polar \((i = 87.9^\circ)\) circular orbit with a height of 681 km \( (v = 7.5 \text{ km/s}) \) has been assumed. The orbital elements of the GPS satellites have been obtained from data provided by the “Center for Space, 190 Standard and Innovation” and the GPS satellites, and SeoSAT191 relative geometry is computed in 20-s steps. PAU’s antenna consists of a seven-patch hexagonal array with a beamwidth \((-3 \text{ dB})\) of \(25^\circ\), providing a footprint of \(1260 \text{ km} \), which best matches the glistening zone at medium wind speeds. Fig. 2(a) and (b) shows the computed DDMs (steps \( \Delta \tau = 1 \text{ chip} \) and \( \Delta f_d = 500 \text{ Hz} \)) for wind speeds equal to 3 and 10 m/s in the 197 particular case that the GPS satellite is exactly located in the zenith of the GPS receiver. A logarithmic scale has been used to better show how the tails of the function extend toward higher delays when the sea surface roughness increases. Note that the 201 envelope (the border between the bins with and without power contribution) is the same in both cases, but in the second one Fig. 2(b), the tails extend over a longer area. Fig. 3(a) and (b) shows the DDMs computed for the same wind speeds, as shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), respectively. In this case, the GPS scattered signal is not at nadir position but at \(12^\circ \) off-nadir. As it can be appreciated, the symmetry is now broken, and one tail is larger than the other. The shape of the envelope in Fig. 3(a) and (b) is the same, but it is different from Fig. 2(a) and (b) since it is only determined by geometrical factors.

\[^3\text{http://celestrak.com/}.\]
Fig. 2. Simulated DDMs (log-scale) when the GPS scattered signal is at nadir of the GNSS-R receiver \((h = 681 \text{ km})\) for wind speeds equal to (a) 3 m/s and (b) 10 m/s. A reduction of 4.5 dB (AU) for the peak power is observed (AU arbitrary unit).

Fig. 3. Simulated DDMs (log-scale) when the GPS scattered signal is at the half-power beamwidth of the GNSS-R receiver \((h = 681 \text{ km})\) for wind speeds equal to (a) 3 m/s and (b) 10 m/s.

III. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURE AND DDM PARAMETERS

In order to relate the DDM with the brightness temperature change induced by the sea state \(\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p})\), it is important to extract from the DDM some physically meaningful parameters that can be linked to this change. In this paper, it is proposed to use the volume under the normalized DDM, which increases with increasing roughness, since the region from which the signals are scattered enlarges, i.e.,

\[
\text{Volume} = \int_{\tau_{\min}}^{\tau_{\max}} \int_{f_{d,\min}}^{f_{d,\max}} \text{DDM}(\tau, f_d) \cdot d\tau \cdot df_d, \quad (10)
\]

where \(\tau_{\min}, \tau_{\max}, f_{d,\min}, \text{ and } f_{d,\max}\) are the minimum and maximum values for the code offset and Doppler shift, respectively, and \(\text{DDM}(\tau, f_d)\) is the normalized DDM. However, since the numerical computation of the volume requires an infinite domain of integration both in delay and Doppler (in practice, a very large one), it is proposed also to use the area of a section of the normalized DDM at a given threshold below the maximum, i.e.,

\[
\text{Area} = \int \int_{\text{DDM}(\tau, f_d) > \text{threshold}} d\tau \cdot df_d. \quad (11)
\]

This threshold must carefully be selected in order to provide maximum sensitivity to the geophysical parameters. The

\[\text{Volume} = \int_{\tau_{\min}}^{\tau_{\max}} \int_{f_{d,\min}}^{f_{d,\max}} \text{DDM}(\tau, f_d) \cdot d\tau \cdot df_d \quad (10)\]

\[\text{Area} = \int \int_{\text{DDM}(\tau, f_d) > \text{threshold}} d\tau \cdot df_d. \quad (11)\]
The convention used in this paper is to define the threshold at a percentage of the maximum. For instance, a threshold of 10% from a normalized DDM would mean to consider only the points with amplitude over 0.1. The normalization is performed using the peak power of the DDM, so that its maximum is equal to one.

In order to study the relationship between the DDM volume or area and the sea state (in the set of simulations parameterized in terms of the wind speed only), a threshold at a given percentage of the maximum value has been defined, setting all points below it to zero. Fig. 4(a) and (b) shows the results for wind speeds from 0 to 14 m/s and different thresholds. The shape of the area also follows the same trend as the volume, and it is similar to that of the mean square slope versus wind speed [19], which suggests that both observables are also related to the same geophysical parameter. As expected, both the volume and the area decrease as the threshold increases, and the lower the threshold, the larger the sensitivity (largest output change for the same input change), with respect to the wind speed. Similarly, the $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta = 0^\circ, U_{10})$ associated to the same roughness conditions was computed as described in [20]. It is then possible to link the DDM with the $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta = 0^\circ, U_{10})$, which can be used to compensate for this term in the SSS retrieval algorithms. At present, this dependence is only empirically known with respect to the wind speed and/or the SWH [2], [3] or through models [21] that rely on the wind speed dependence (and eventually others such as the wave age [22] and other surface processes [23]). Even though the DDMs and the brightness temperatures are simultaneously measured, an estimate can now be obtained by using the wind speed as intermediate variable $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, V_{DDM}(U_{10}))$ and $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, A_{DDM}(U_{10}))$. This relationship is shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b), which have been obtained by replacing in Fig. 4(a) and (b) the wind speed dependence of the L-band brightness temperature at nadir derived using the small perturbation method/small slope approximation (SPM/SSA) [22], [24] (Fig. 6). This approach is one of the three algorithms implemented in the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity retrieval processor [25].

### IV. PRACTICAL CONSIDERATION

The results presented in Fig. 5(a) and (b) assume a perfectly measured DDM in steps $\Delta \tau = 1$ chip and $\Delta f_d = 500$ Hz computed over a very large delay-Doppler region, so that the contributions of the tails outside the integration region are negligible. In this section, the following four aspects that appear in the practical implementation of the DDM generator are considered:

1. finite size in both the delay and Doppler variables: $[\tau_{\min}, \tau_{\max}] \times [f_{d,\min}, f_{d,\max}]$;
2. DDM resolution: step width $\Delta \tau$ and $\Delta f_d$;
3. quantization of the DDM or the number of levels in which it is coded;
4. effect of the noise.

Since the sea state dependence is very similar both for the DDM area and the volume [Fig. 4(a) and (b)], in the remainder of this paper, only results for the DDM volume are presented.
Fig. 5. Relationship between $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta = 0^\circ, \vec{p})$ and the (a) area and (b) volume under the normalized DDM.

Fig. 6. $\Delta T_B(\theta = 0^\circ, U_{10})$ as a function of the wind speed computed with the SPM/SSA method and the Elfouhaily’s sea surface spectrum.

A. DDDM Finite Size

From a practical point of view, it is convenient to reduce the size of the computed DDM, either using a field-programmable gate array [26] or in software after the signals are acquired. The idea is to find the minimum size around the DDM peak that provides an estimated area or volume close enough to the ideal case [Fig. 4(a) and (b)]. Results are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b) for the estimated volume under the DDM. As expected, due to the widening of the DDM tails with increasing wind speed, the saturation occurs at higher delays, whereas the saturation Doppler frequency slightly increases. Consequently, the size of the final salinity products to be derived, from 100 to 300 km of spatial resolution every 10 to 30 days [27], [28].

B. DDM Resolution

The DDM computation in real time is also limited by the number of points used to sample the DDM in each variable $N_{T} = (\tau_{\max} - \tau_{\min})/\Delta \tau + 1$ and $N_{f} = (f_{d,\max} - f_{d,\min})/\Delta f_{d} + 1$. Therefore, the accuracy of the estimated area or volume of the normalized DDM is also affected by the DDM resolution. The DDM is a wide and slow varying function, but under-sampling it results in a bias in the volume or area estimate, which translates into a bias in the estimated brightness temperature correction associated due to the sea state ($\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p})$). To estimate this impact, the DDMs have been computed for several wind speed conditions decimating both in the delay and Doppler variables ($\Delta \tau = N_{\text{decim. factor}, \tau}$ [in chips] and $\Delta f_{d} = M_{\text{decim. factor}, f_{d}}$ [in hertz]), and results have been compared to those obtained using $\Delta \tau = 1$ chip and $\Delta f_{d} = 500$ Hz. Simulation results for the bias in $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p})$ as a function of the decimation factors $N_{\text{decim. factor}, \tau}$ and $M_{\text{decim. factor}, f_{d}}$ are shown in Fig. 8(a) and (b) for wind speeds of 3 and 10 m/s. In order to have a bias in the brightness temperature correction due to the sea state that is smaller than, for example, 0.05 K ($\sim 0.1$-psu SSS error), the maximum decimating factors can be $N_{\text{decim. factor}, \tau} = 1$ (1 chip) and $M_{\text{decim. factor}, f_{d}} = 2$ (1 kHz).

C. DDM Quantization

The quantization of the DDM values into a finite number of levels results in roundoff errors that produce a bias in the area or volume estimate. Then, it translates into a bias in the brightness temperature correction to be applied due to the sea state. This bias decreases as the number of levels increases. The impact of the number of quantization levels in the DDM volume has been...
Fig. 7. Volume under the normalized DDM as a function of the normalized DDM size (chips × kilohertz around the DDM maximum) for wind speed equal to (a) 3 m/s and (b) 10 m/s.

Fig. 8. $\Delta T_B,\vec{p}(\theta = 0^\circ, \vec{p})$ error as a function of the decimation factor in delay and Doppler frequency for wind speed equal to (a) 3 and (b) 10 m/s.

studied for wind speeds ranging from 3 to 14 m/s. Fig. 9(a) shows the bias in the DDM volume estimate as a function of the quantization levels from 2 (1 bit) to 1024 (10 bits). Fig. 9(b) shows the resulting bias in the brightness temperature correction. As it can be appreciated, for a bias smaller than 0.05 K, the number of levels must be larger than 256, and above 512, the bias is nearly independent of the sea state.

D. Noise Impact

In the previous sections, the effect of additive noise has not been taken into account. However, the performance of the brightness temperature correction ultimately depends on the amount of noise present in the DDMs. Taking into account that 1) the correlation time of the sea surface’s backscatter at L-band is on the order of a few milliseconds and 2) the possibility of having a sign change associated to the navigation bit, a 10-ms coherent integration time has been assumed, followed by incoherent integration. In [29], the average noise level from a receiver in a low Earth orbit is given by

$$N = T_i^2 \left( \frac{K_p \cdot P + k_B T_{sys} B}{\sqrt{N_{\text{incoherent}}}} \right)$$

which includes two terms: 1) a speckle one, which depends on the scattered power $P$ and $K_p \approx 1/\sqrt{h}$, which is related to the satellite height, and 2) a Gaussian thermal noise, which depends on Boltzmann’s constant $k_B$, system temperature $T_{sys}$, and system bandwidth $B$. According to [29], the noise of the DDM module has a Rayleigh-Rice distribution since the noise in the real and imaginary parts are zero-mean Gaussian noises. This means that a noise increment increases not only the DDM variance but also its mean. This is a critical point since the brightness temperature correction $(\Delta T_{B,\vec{p}}(\theta, \vec{p}))$ is computed through the DDM volume, which also increases with the noise level, resulting in a

$$...$$
bias in $\Delta T_{B,p}(\theta, \vec{p})$. In order to compensate for this factor, the noise floor has to accurately be estimated. To do this, the mean value of the DDM points corresponding to “forbidden” delay-Doppler coordinates (coordinates that cannot exist for a given geometry, i.e., the dark blue regions in left of Figs. 2 and 3) is computed and then subtracted from the noisy DDM.

As shown in Fig. 10(a), the volume estimate improves as the incoherent integration time increases. Fig. 10(b) shows the values of estimated brightness temperature corrections for a coherent integration time of 1 ms and incoherent integration times of 1, 10, and 100 ms. Fig. 10(c) shows the associated error when comparing Fig. 10(b) with the noiseless ideal case.

As it can be appreciated, the impact of noise increases with wind speed (sea state), and to achieve an error in the brightness temperature correction smaller than 0.05 K, the integration time must be 100 ms (1-ms coherent integration and 100-ms incoherent integration) for wind speeds below 11 m/s (at 14 m/s, this error is 0.13 K for the same incoherent integration time).

V. CONCLUSION

This study, which was performed in view of the practical implementation of the GNSS-R processor of the PAU in the SeoSAT/INGENIO instrument proposal, has presented four results.

1) An efficient computation procedure to compute the DDMs of GNSS-R over the sea surface and collected by a satellite-borne receiver by means of 2-D convolutions. The DDM is computed for a coherent integration time of 1 ms and variable incoherent integration times of 1, 10, and 100 ms.

2) The relationship between the area and volume under the DDM with respect to the wind speed used as the single descriptor of the sea state. This relationship has been used to link the brightness temperature correction due to the sea state, which was parameterized also in terms of the wind speed only, with the area or volume under the normalized DDM. This must be considered an intermediate step since the ultimate goal is to directly relate the area or volume under the DDM to the brightness temperature.
temperature correction, when measurements are available
in the future.

3) Both the area and the volume as a function of the wind
speed exhibit the saturation trend for higher wind speeds.

4) The requirements of the DDMs to be computed in or-
der to accurately correct for the sea state impact on
the L-band brightness temperature. These requirements
are summarized as DDM size 220 chips × ±1 kHz,
DDM resolution = 1 chip × ±1 kHz, DDM quantization
of at least 9 bits, and Tg = 1 ms coherent integration,
followed by 100-ms incoherent integration, assuming a
GNSS-R receiver at 680-km height and a 25° beamwidth
antenna.

Future research lines will include the evaluation of
\[ \Delta T_{p, p}(\theta, V_{DDM}) \] and \[ \Delta T_{p, p}(\theta, A_{DDM}) \] for other bistatic scat-
tering geometries since the relationship between sea roughness
and the area/volume of the normalized DDM depends on the
observation geometry (incidence angles, velocity vectors, etc.).
In this paper, the area/volume and their link with roughness
(3) and the subsequent brightness temperature increase for the
424 following particular geometry has been computed: GPS satellite
exactly at the GNSS-R receiver’s zenith and the velocities
for both the emitter and the transmitter determined by the
PAU/SeaSoSAT simulator. The generalization of the approach
presented to an arbitrary scenario requires performing a set of
simulations for each configuration.
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